SOME CHARACTERISTICS OF LEROY QUET'S PERMUTATION SEQUENCES

FERENC ADÓRIÁN

Abstract. L. Quet have submitted to the OEIS four recursively defined sequences in February, 2007, which are proposed to be permutations of the set of positive integers. I have studied numerically these sequences somewhat and along with two additional sequences of similar type. After a deeper scrutiny doubts are raised against their permutation nature.

The On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [1] — established and managed by N. J. A. Sloane — received four sequences from Léry Quet, which can be found under the identifications A125715, A125717, A125718 and A125727, respectively. The corresponding definitions are, as follows:

Definition 1. A125715. Let \( a(1) = 1 \), \( a(n) \) = the smallest positive integer not occurring earlier in the sequence, such that \( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a(k) \) is congruent to \( a(n)(\mod n) \).

Definition 2. A125717. Let \( b(1) = 1 \), \( b(n) \) = the smallest positive integer not occurring earlier in the sequence, such that \( b(n-1) \) is congruent to \( b(n) \) (mod \( n \)).

Definition 3. A125718. Let \( c(1) = 1 \), \( c(n) \) = the smallest positive integer not occurring earlier in the sequence, such that \( c(n) \) is congruent to \( p(n) \) (mod \( n \)), where \( p(n) \) is the \( n \)-th prime.

Definition 4. A125727. Let \( d(1) = 1, d(2) = 2, d(n) = \) the smallest positive integer not occurring earlier in the sequence, such that \( d(n-2) + d(n-1) \) is congruent to \( d(n) \) (mod \( n \)).

By creating the corresponding PARI/GP functions (see the Appendix), I have computed the first 25000 terms of each of the sequences for plotting and also to carry out some numerical explorations. Let us first see the plots.

First of all, the similarity of the plots of \( a(n) \) and \( d(n) \) is notable. This suggests, that the last two terms qualitatively characterize the modular behavior of the sum in the Definition 1, which is quite surprising. Note that the “clouds” in Figs. 1 and 4 are very similar, but not identical. Practically, all the points are different.

The distinctive structure of \( b(n) \) as shown in Fig. 2 is also a surprise, if one considers how similar its definition is to \( d(n) \).

Yet another surprise is the quite regular pattern of \( c(n) \), as show in Fig. 3, which is really unusual in case of prime-related sequences. This sequence somehow defines “generations of the primes”, the successive generations of primes seem to generate the 7 distinguishable arcs in Fig. 3, though – by zooming into the beginning of the plot – it can be seen that there are altogether 12 generations in this range. The explanation of this behaviour is not too difficult, however. As a hint: it can be seen that the vertical distance of the neighboring arcs at any value \( x \) is \( \sim x \).

Figure 1. The plot of $a(n)$ for $n = 1, 25000$. The vertical range is $[0, 10^5]$. 

Figure 2. The plot of $b(n)$ for $n = 1, 25000$. The vertical range is $[0, 10^5]$. 
Figure 3. The plot of $c(n)$ for $n = 1, 25000$. The vertical range is $[0, 10^5]$. 

Figure 4. The plot of $d(n)$ for $n = 1, 25000$. The vertical range is $[0, 10^5]$. 
Figure 5. The plot of $e(n)$ for $n = 1, 25000$. The vertical range is $[0, 10^6]$.

Just for obtaining some further insights, let us define two further - very probably also permutation - sequences:

**Definition 5.** Let $e(1) = 1, e(2) = 2$ and $e(n) =$ the smallest positive integer not occurring earlier in the sequence, such that $e(n - 1) - e(n - 2)$ is congruent to $e(n) \pmod{n}$.

**Definition 6.** Let $f(1) = 1, f(2) = 2$ and $f(n) =$ the smallest positive integer not occurring earlier in the sequence, such that $|f(n - 1) - f(n - 2)|$ is congruent to $f(n) \pmod{n}$.

The pattern of $e(n)$ in Fig. 5 shows a new picture, again, with its almost perfect and continuous straight rays. Also note, that the distribution of the rays is practically symmetrical to the $y = 1.5x$ line (dashed blue line). This very line is also a kind of symmetry axis for the pattern of $a(n), d(n)$ and $f(n)$. It is obvious, that the symmetry is not exact and the rays are not exactly straight. This can be seen in Fig. 6.

The pattern of $f(n)$ is some kind of a “mule” between $e(n)$ and $d(n)$, though its definition does not make it apparent. The irregularly distributed vertical gaps in the “cloud”, where the points are squeezed close to the “rays”, are also characteristic features.

These qualitative properties can be complemented by some quantitative ones. A simple quantitative feature is the least value not showing up among the first 25000 terms. This is given in the table below.
Figure 6. The plot of the first 1000 terms of $e(n)$

Figure 7. The plot of $f(n)$ for $n = 1, 25000$. The vertical range is $[0, 10^5]$. 
Certainly, even in case of \( e(n) \), the low value of the least missing term after calculating 25000 terms, doesn’t prove that the sequence is not a permutation of positive integers. The Definition 5 for \( e(n) \) by no means seems to justify avoiding any particular number, e. g. 10.

Another thing, which I have explored with these sequences is the occurrence and length of cycles. It is practically characteristic for all of them that the majority of the members of the sequences belong to chains, that are not closed within the first 25000 terms (I call them “open cycles”). One can assume that most of (or at least some of) these cycles are infinite. This conjecture is also supported by the fact, that the closed cycles are short and rare in all of these sequences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seq.</th>
<th># of fixed points</th>
<th># of closed cycles</th>
<th># of open cycles</th>
<th>Closed cycle max. length</th>
<th>Open cycle max. length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( a(n) )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6756</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b(n) )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>8700</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c(n) )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9206</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d(n) )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6758</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e(n) )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6403</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f(n) )</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7302</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table:** 2 Quantitative characteristics of the sequences

On the basis of large number of “open cycles” in each of the sequences, doubts can be raised against their permutation nature, especially, if one looks at the number of open cycles as function of the lengths within they are counted. In fig. 8 we can see that number of open cycles grows about linearly or faster with the increasing length of the sequence. This indicates that instead of closing some of the cycles, new open cycles start with quasi even probability at any index of the sequences. It is also notable how close the curves related to \( a(n) \) and \( d(n) \) are to each other (red and purple marks). The “undulating” curve of \( q_{1-b} \) (green) is quite surprising, taking into account that the definition of \( b(n) \) is the simplest.
It is further indication that these sequences are not permutations of the positive integers, that distance sequence, e. g. \( d(i) = a(i) - i \) covers an ever increasing range with increasing \( i \).
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**Appendix.** The PARI/GP code for computing the sequences and for looking up the cycles.

```pari
# Quet, p1.tlm /* Permutation sequence a’la Leroy Quet, A125715 */
local(x=[1], s=1, k=0, m, t=x);
for(i=2, n, if((k=x[i])==0, k=x[i]-1); while(bittest(k,k-1)>0, k+=1); x=concat(x,k); s+=x; m+=2^(k-1)); return(x)

# Quet, p2.tlm /* Permutation sequence a’la Leroy Quet, A125717 */
local(x=[1], s=1, k=0, m, t=x);
for(i=2, n, if((k=x[i-1])==0, k=x[i-1]-1); while(bittest(k,k-1)>0, k+=1); x=concat(x,k); s+=x; m+=2^(k-1)); return(x)

# Quet, p3.tlm /* Permutation sequence a’la Leroy Quet, A125718 */
local(x=[1], s=1, k=0, m, t=x);
for(i=2, n, if((k=x[i])==0, k=x[i]-1); while(bittest(k,k-1)>0, k+=1); x=concat(x,k); s+=x; m+=2^(k-1)); return(x)
```
f(\text{iset}_p4(n)) = /* Permutation sequence à la Leroy ãuet, A125727 */
local(x=[1,2], s=1, k=0, m, t=0);
for(i=0, n, if((k=(x[i-1]+x[i-2]))%n==0, k=i); while(bittest(w,k-1)); x=concat(x,k); w+=2^k-1);
return(x))

f(\text{iset}_pv1(n)) = /* Permutation sequence à la Leroy ãuet, a variant */
local(x=[1,2], s=1, k=0, m, t=0);
for(i=0, n, if((k=(x[i-1]+x[i-2]))%n==0, k=i); while(bittest(w,k-1)); x=concat(x,k); w+=2^k-1);
return(x))

f(\text{iset}_pv2(n)) = /* Permutation sequence à la Leroy ãuet, a variant */
local(x=[1,2], s=1, k=0, m, t=0);
for(i=0, n, if((k=abs(x[i-1]-x[i-2]))%n==0, k=i); while(bittest(w,k-1)); x=concat(x,k); w+=2^k-1);
return(x))

{sort(v)= /* sorting a vector of unique positive integers */
local(x=[], t, q, a, p=0); l=matsize(v)[2]; for(i=1, l, p=2^(v[i]-1));
t=1; while(p>0, if(p) x=concat(x, t); p=shift(p, -1); t++); return(x))

cycle(v,n)=local(1=1, m=1, j, s, p=1, b=0, in=0, mx):

m=matsize(v)[2]; x=[0,0,0,0,0]; b=0;
for(i=1, m, x=max(x, v[i])); in=vector(m, x=1)
for(i=1, m, if(bittest(b, i)==0) /* not counted yet */), l=i, s=[i], b=2^i;
if(l>1, mx[1]++ /* fixed point */);
else if((s[1], p=s, p=p) [2]; b=2^i-1; l++);
while((p<=m) && (p>i), b=2^p-1+i+1 +
if(p<=i, i--; j-=1); while((in[j]<>0) && (abs(1-c, j-in[j], l-1, b=2^j-1)); k++;
return(mx)}

{semi(v)= /* looks up the least missing term in a sequence of positive integers */
local(m=1, vv=sort(v), l=matsize(vv)[2]; for(i=1, l, if(vv[i]==m, m++)); return(m) )